Criteria for Peer Review of Proposals

Single Paper Proposals

- 1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: *Critical Approaches to Close-Up Higher Education Research*
- 2. Appropriateness of the analytical or theoretical framework underpinning the paper
- 3. Clarity of the research questions or focus of enquiry
- 4. Appropriateness of research methods and literature used
- 5. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the paper
- 6. Engagement with or expansion on the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers

Symposium Proposals

- 1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: *Critical Approaches to Close-Up Higher Education Research*
- 2. Explicit engagement with the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers
- 3. Coherence of the different elements of the symposium
- 4. Appropriateness of the analytical or theoretical framework(s)
- 5. Clarity of the research questions or foci of enquiry
- 6. Appropriateness of research methods and/or literature used
- 7. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the papers and/or the symposium as a whole.
- 8. Engagement with or expansion on the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers
- 9. Effective use of the 90 minute time slot