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Why approach Shakespeare from linguistics and why now?

Jack Cade:
"It will be proved to thy face that thou hast men about thee that usually talk of a noun and a verb, and such abominable words as no Christian ear can endure to hear." 2H6 IV.vii

- Linguistics has moved on (it’s more applied than ever).
- Linguistics has new technologies by which it can be pursued (e.g. the computer).
- Linguistics is increasingly combined with other disciplines, as it is in this project (+ literary studies, computer science, history, etc.)
- Relatively little has been written on Shakespeare’s language by linguists.
The Encyclopedia of Shakespeare’s Language Project
(http://wp.lancs.ac.uk/shakespearearelang)

Main people: Jonathan Culpeper, Dawn Archer, Alison Findlay, Andrew Hardie, Paul Rayson, Jane Demmen, Sean Murphy, Amelia Joulain-Jay, Mathew Gillings ...

Funder: UK’s Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC)

Timeframe: 2016-2019

Aim: Produce the first systematic and comprehensive account of Shakespeare’s language using methods derived from corpus linguistics – an approach that uses computers in large-scale language analysis.

A distinctive feature: It’s comparative.
Preliminaries: What is meant by “Shakespeare’s language”? 

Shakespeare’s language = surviving written texts that constitute, for the most part, the language that we call Shakespeare’s works

Which “surviving written texts”?

- We need one stable body of texts – a corpus – at the heart of our project (partly for methodological reasons).
- Modern editions are a mish-mash of writings attributed to Shakespeare, and contain various modernizations.
- We do not have the resources to engage in author attribution work or (much) textual editing.
Preliminaries: What is meant by “Shakespeare’s language”?

Solution?

• Use an original spelling diplomatic transcription of the largest near-contemporary body of work attributed to Shakespeare, i.e. the First Folio (1623), plus *Pericles* and *The Two Noble Kinsmen*.

• But don’t ignore the Quartos. They constitute a secondary dataset.
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

Our method relies on counting words in order to identify patterns.

What is a word?

Two definitions:

(1) A unit of meaning

- *The plane landed* = 3 words?
- *The plane took off* = 3 words? (cf. phrasal verbs)
- *He kicked the bucket* = 2 words? (cf. idioms)

*Well come, in deed, by cause, etc.*

*Hour glass, hour-glass, hourglass*
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

(2) A letter or letters bounded by spaces?

*orthographic word* = ‘a string of uninterrupted non-punctuation characters with white space or punctuation at each end’ (Leech et al. 2001: 13-14)

Problem solved?
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

tom yddd

I like you and I love you

Love from

Emily
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

Historical issues:

*Well come, in deed, by cause, etc.*

*Hour glass, hour-glass, hourglass*
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

Words and morphological variants:

• Dictionary headword:
  \( do = 1 \)

• Modern (morphological) word-forms:
  \( do, does, doing, did, done = 5 \)

• Early modern (morphological) word-forms:
  \( do, does, do(e)st, doth, doing, did, didst, done = 8 \)
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

Words and spelling variants:

**Problem**: You decide to study the use of the word *would* in a corpus. You type it into your search program ... and look at the result.

But you miss: *wold, wolde, woolde, wuld, wulde, wud, wald, vvould, vvold*, etc., etc.

One orthographic word today; many in EModE.

**Solution**: *Variant Detector* (VARD) program, primarily devised by generations of scholars at Lancaster, but most recently given a significant boost by Alistair Baron.
Preliminaries: The problem with counting words

Further problem: What do you regularize the spelling to? There is no standardised regular form in the way that there is today.

Solution: Our general policy was to

- Preserve the morphology, e.g. 2\textsuperscript{nd} + 3\textsuperscript{rd} person verb inflections (–(e)st, -(e)th), past tense forms (e.g. holp), past participle forms (e.g. holpen), plural forms (e.g. shooen), non-standard superlatives (e.g. horrider), and you/thou
- Use a spelling that had EModE currency
- Prioritize the most frequent spelling in Shakespeare
A glance at the First Folio and spelling variation in English (Baron, Rayson & Archer 2009)
Shakespeare’s neologisms?

What can we ‘learn’ from the internet?

• “Shakespeare coined more words than other writers, around 1700 words ...”
• “The English language owes a great debt to Shakespeare. He invented over 1700 of our common words ...”
• “Shakespeare introduced nearly 3,000 words ...”
• “Shakespeare is credited by the Oxford English Dictionary with the introduction of nearly 3,000 words into the language”
• “Shakespeare invented a quarter of our language”
• “Shakespeare invented half the words in the English language”
• “Shakespeare is our language”
Shakespeare’s neologisms?

Work on neologisms:

- 1,502 words recorded in the Oxford English Dictionary as first citations in Shakespeare
- We are checking these in Early English Books Online (EEBO-TCP): 125,000 titles of printed material, 1473-1700. About 1.2 billion words.

Preliminary findings:

- If the current pattern continues, less than a quarter of those 1,502 words can reasonably be attributed to Shakespeare.
Shakespeare’s neologisms?

Issues

• How do we know that Shakespeare coined it as opposed to recorded it? Cf. *down staires* vs. *incarna[r]dine* (v.)

• What about borrowings, such as Latin *acerbic*, that appear in mixed Latin-English texts before Shakespeare?

• Is it actually just a nonce word rather than neologism? Cf. *dropsied* vs. *domineering*
Shakespeare’s neologisms

Do Shakespeare’s “coinages” survive into today’s English?

• A glimpse of phrases first recorded in Shakespeare and their more recent life.
Four phrases first recorded in Shakespeare and their use in printed material over the last 200 years (Google’s N-Gram Viewer)
Shakespeare’s language transcends time and space?
Shakespeare’s language transcends time and space?

- Universal characters, emotions, themes ... language??

“Shakespeare has given us a universal language medium in which are crystalized the battle hymns, the intellectual conceptions and the spiritual aspirations of the Anglo-Saxons.”

(Rutherford, N.J. and Bennett, E.H., 1918-1922, English Speaking World, Vol.2 (8): 14)

- No Shakespearean dictionary has treated Shakespeare’s language as relative, i.e. put Shakespeare’s usage in the context of that of his contemporaries.
Shakespeare’s language transcends time and space? - *good*

*Crystal & Crystal* (2004:201-202): (1) [intensifying use] real, genuine (‘love no man in good earnest’). (2) kind, benevolent, generous. (3) kind, friendly, sympathetic. (4) amenable, tractable, manageable. (5) honest, virtuous, honourable. (6) seasonable, appropriate, proper. (7) just, right, commendable. (8) intended, right, proper. (9) high-ranking, highborn, distinguished. (10) rich, wealthy, substantial.

+ phrases and compounds
Shakespeare’s language transcends time and space - *good*


(1) [intensifying use] real, genuine (‘love no man in good earnest’).
(2) *kind*, benevolent, generous.
(3) *kind*, friendly, sympathetic.
(4) amenable, tractable, manageable.
(5) honest, virtuous, honourable.
(6) seasonable, appropriate, *proper*.
(7) just, *right*, commendable.
(8) intended, *right*, *proper*.
(9) high-ranking, highborn, distinguished.
(10) rich, wealthy, substantial.
Shakespeare’s language transcends time and space - **good**

---

**Encyclopaedia of Shakespeare's Language**

**Definition preview: definition 104 for entry good_ADJ**

**good**** adj.** (good, better, best):
1. A polite address: '(my) good Lord/friend/Sir/Master/Lady/Madam/etc.' Typically used when meeting or parting, thanking or making suggestions. **But (good my Lord) do it so cunningly** TGV, III. 1.
2. Honest, truthful, principled; of high moral standards. (This sense also shapes the discourse markers '(in) good faith/sooth/truth', which mean truly or honestly). **a man of good repute, carriage, bearing, & estimation** LLL, I. 1.
3. Positive rather than negative. Typically, contrasted with 'bad'. **Is thy news good or bad?** ROM, II. 5.
4. In one's favour, especially favourable wishes or blessings. **The Gods be good to us** COR, V. 4.
5. A welcoming, cheerful manner. **Therefore for Gods sake entertain good comfort, And cheer his Grace with quick and merry eyes** R3, I. 3.

**good will** As now.
**good morrow** Good morning.
**good night** As now.
Contemporary attitudes towards the Scottish, Irish and Welsh (with Alison Findlay)

*Henry V*: Fluellen, Macmorris and Jamy

- How did contemporaries of Shakespeare view the Scots, Welsh and Irish?
- How did people write about them at the time?
Focus: words that frequently co-occur with Scottish, Irish or Welsh, i.e. collocates

- Data: *Early English Books Online* – approx. 1.2 billion words (?)
- Period: 1580-1599 – 82,180,304 words (around *Henry V*)
- Tool: CQPweb (Andrew Hardie)
- Settings: 5 words left and right of target item (within sentence boundaries). Mutual information. Minimum frequency is 10.
Lexical items: Frequencies

How often do they occur?

*Scots/Scottish:* 5,407 instances in 282 texts

*Irish:* 1,160 instances in 144 texts

*Welsh:* 802 instances in 115 texts
Lexical items: Scots/Scottish

Thematic groups (amongst the top 50 collocates)

Associated groups (confederates, ioine): Picts, Irish, Britains, Frenchmen, Danes, etc.

Scottish kings/queens and nobles: Malcolm, Ferguse, Kenneth, Donald, Bruce, Galled, etc.

Hostile: iuadeth, discomfited, borders, invaded, chased

Scottish histories: chronicles, writers, yere

Political power: nation, nobility, ambassadors, etc.

Religion: communion, supper, etc.
Lexical item: *Irish*

**Strongest collocate: *Irish rug***

“Show me a fair scarlet, a vvelch frise, a good Irish rug” (Eliot, 1595)
Lexical item: **Irish**

Thematic groups (top 50 collocates)

**Negative connotations:**

- **Uncivilised:** savage, wild
- **Hostile:** wars, enemies, against
- **Ungovernable:** rebels
- **[Insignificant????:]** mere* (Holinshed)

**Associated groups:** Scottish, Scots, English [rebels]

**Suppressed:** slue, hundred

**Political power:** nation, lords

**Language:** tongue, language, speak, called

[MacMorris: “What ish my nation? Ish a villain and a bastard and a knave and a rascal?”]
Lexical item: Welsh

Thematic groups (top 50 collocates)

Associated groups (collaborators): Englishmen

Suppressed: number, against, king, Danes

Welsh language: English, tongue, y, speaks, call, called, word

William Allen, *A Conference About the Next Succession* (1595)

“... in the welsh also towards the English, who are a different people and of different language, and yet are they governed peaceably by the English, & the English again do account them for their country men ...”
Character profiles: *Romeo and Juliet*

- What language characterizes Romeo and what language, Juliet?
- What are their linguistic styles, their style markers, their keywords?
**Character profiles: *Romeo and Juliet***

**Rank-ordered keywords for Romeo and Juliet (raw frequencies in brackets)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Romeo</th>
<th>Juliet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>beauty (10), love (46), blessed (5), eyes (14), more (26), mine (14), dear (13), rich (7), me (73), yonder (5), farewell (11), sick (6), lips (9), stars (5), fair (15), hand (11), thine (7), banished (9), goose (5), that (84)</td>
<td>if (31), be (59), or (25), I (138), sweet (16), my (92), news (9), thou (71), night (27), would (20), yet (18), that (82), nurse (20), name (11), words (5), Tybalt’s (6), send (7), husband (7), swear (5), where (16), again (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Character profiles: Romeo and Juliet

Romeo:

• She hath, and in that sparing makes huge waste; For beauty, starv’d with her severity, Cuts beauty off from all posterity. She is too fair, too wise, wisely too fair, To merit bliss by making me despair: She hath forsworn to love, and in that vow Do I live dead that live to tell it now. (I.i)

• If I profane with our unworthiest hand This holy shrine, the gentle sin is this; Our lips, two blushing pilgrims, ready stand To smooth that rough touch with a tender kiss. (I.v)
Character profiles: *Romeo and Juliet*

**Juliet:**

- *If* he *be* married, / Our grave is like to *be our* wedding-bed (I.v.)
- *If* they do see thee, they will murder thee (II.ii.)
- But *if* thou meanest not well (II.ii.)
- *Is thy news good,* or bad? answer to that; Say either, and I'll stay the circumstance: Let me be satisfied, *is 't good* or bad? (II.ii)
- *Tis almost morning; I would have thee gone;* And *yet* no further than a wanton’s bird [...] (II.ii.)
Love and semantic analysis in Shakespeare’s works
(with Dawn Archer and Paul Rayson)

- Three “love” tragedies (*Othello, Anthony & Cleopatra, Romeo & Juliet*)
- Three “love” comedies (*A Midsummer Night's Dream, Two Gentlemen of Verona, As You Like It*)
- Words tagged for semantic field
- Statistical comparison of semantic tags
The semantic category most over-used in ‘love comedies’

**PARTICIPANTS** …

Twosomes – ‘couples’, ‘lovers’
Males – ‘lover’, ‘suitor’
Females – ‘virgin’, ‘wanton’

A DIFFERENT GENDER BIASES: PARTICULARLY IN RELATION TO AGENCY ...?

“intimate/sexual relationship”

Male agents – ‘kiss’, ‘kisses’
Female patients – ‘seduced’, ‘deflowered’
Both ‘fall in love’

... **PROCESSES**
## The quality of ‘love’ in the Comedies vs. Tragedies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Comedies</th>
<th>Tragedies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexual relationship</td>
<td>adoration (1), adore (2), adored (1), affection (7), affections (1), amorous (1), applaud (2), applause (1), apple of his eye (1), beloved (8), chastity (3), cherish (1), cherished (2), copulation (1), couples (4), dear (3)</td>
<td>adore (1), adores (1), affection (8), affections (7), affinity (1), amorous (6), applauding (1), applause (1), beloved (5), beloving (1), bewhored (1), carnal (1), chastity (3), cherish (1), cherished (1), cherishing (1), courts (1), cuckold (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimate relationship</td>
<td>lovers (26), loves (26), loving (10), paramour (2), precious (5), prized (1), relish (2), revelling (1), revels (5), savours (3), seduced (1), sensual (1), suitor (2), take to (1), that way (1), virgin (4), wanton (5)</td>
<td>affinity, bewhored, carnal, cuckold, darling(s), devotion, lust(s), sluttish, wooer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liking</td>
<td>apple of his eye, copulation, couples, gone for, seduced, sensual, virgin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Sentiment analysis”

- Emotion words, whether they are positive or negative (valence), and their strength.
- *SentiStrength* (Thelwall; http://sentistrength.wlv.ac.uk/)
- Lexicon adjusted for EModE and Shakespeare in particular.
- Checked against a human rater.
Overall negative sentiment across Shakespeare’s plays (average negative sentiment subtract average positive sentiment)
No man is an *Illed*, intire of it selfe; every man is a peiece of the *Continent*, a part of the *maine*; if a *Clod* bee washed away by the *Sea*, *Europe* is the lesse [...]

(John Donne 1624)


EEBO-TCP http://www.textcreationpartnership.org/tcp-e.cbo/


VARIANT Detector (VARD 2) software http://ucrel.lancs.ac.uk/ vard/about/.
Tools

http://ucrel.lancs.ac.uk/vard/about/

https://cqppweb.lancs.ac.uk/

http://ucrel.lancs.ac.uk/wmatrix/