

Stream proposal

Landscape Commons: Organizing Livelihoods for a Sustainable Presence

Timeline:

Calls for papers, open from: 1 September 2026

Deadline for paper abstract submissions: 1 Dec 2026

Communication of decisions: 31 Jan 2027

Deadline for full papers: **31 May 2027** (we don't desire full papers but if you want to hand in a more substantial outline or a full paper for feedback, this is the deadline)

Pre-conference activities (optional): 29 June 2027

Conference 30 June – 2nd July 2027

Stream

Type

The stream is envisioned as a self-organized academic space unfolding in the rhythms of 30-minutes slots that each participant has available to fill with their contribution in whatever mode of sharing they choose. The responsibility for dedicating attention and participating is shared amongst stream participants. The mode of the stream will be in-person with some hybrid presentations (depending on the travel status), the shared working language will be all kinds of English.

The stream welcomes classic academic papers (including early work in progress) as well as storytelling, art-based interactions and engagement with the local space-time interactions where presenter/artists can spend and bend time to engage with spaces and communities in the conference area. The organization of the stream will attune to different needs of hybrid and on-site audience/presenters.

Organiser Information

Anke Strauß, Eberswalde University of Sustainable Development, Germany,
Anke.strauss@hnee.de

Alex Pazaitis, Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia, alexandros.pazaitis@taltech.ee

Ivana Lukeš Rybanská, Prague University of Economics and Business and Faculty of Humanities, Charles University, Czech Republic, ivana.rybanska@vse.cz

Rajeshwari Chennangodu, Indian Institute of Management Kozhikode, India,
rajeshwaric@iima.ac.in

As organizers we represent northern, southern, central and western Europe as well as South Asia. We share interest in commons for several years but relate this interest to different research foci: alternative ways of organizing, artistic research and aesthetics, sustainability and regenerative organizing, practices of valuation, degrowth, social entrepreneurship.

Half of the organizing collective is early-career, the other half is mid-career researchers. Next to experiences in facilitating practice and intensive pedagogical experience both in and outside academia. Some of us have also obtained professional experience in collectives primarily in the NGO sector that span from more activist, entrepreneurial initiatives to artists (Alex Pazaitis; Anke Strauß). Next to experiences in the creative industries (Ivana Lukeš Rybanská) our team has also experience in consultancy work for cooperatives (Rajeshwari Chennangodu). All of us engage in reproductive labor, such as gardening, child care, animal care in various intensities, which sometimes also spills over into academic engagement as they shape our onto-epistemological theorizing.

Landscape Commons: Organising Livelihoods for a Sustainable Presence

Call for abstracts

Landscapes – understood not as a mere pictorial scene but a naturecultural expression of human-environment interactivities – emerge in “complex processes in which human beings (with the history and culture) and their environment are mutually defined.” (Menatti 2017, 641). Organized and emergent in complex processes between commons and privatized activities, landscapes intersect with an understanding of the commons, or commoning, as relational social process, that has gained renewed interest in Management and Organization Studies (Bauwens, et al. 2019; Brandtner, et al. 2023; Fournier 2013; Mandalaki & Fotaki 2023; Peter & Meyer 2023). Yet, it seems that most of these perspectives engage only loosely with the material dimension of commons.

Taking on a commons perspective on natural systems, we find that our myriad privatized economic activities are still interlaced with commons on different levels of aggregation – local, national, regional and planetary or global (for instance Corti, et al. 2026; Gibson-Graham, et al. 2016). However, as the level of aggregation increases, awareness of this interconnection appears to become more difficult. Planetary or global commons, such as atmosphere, global water circles or biodiversity, especially of migratory species, are at the center of the tragedy of planetary commons that are largely imperceptible. Engaging with organizing a particular commons, instead, often predominantly addresses the commons as a well-defined and circumscribable territory or source of resource with too little focus on the interconnectedness of the socio-cultural with wider bio-physical systems. Landscapes, we propose constitute a meso-level commons that materializes in the interstices of local human activities and systemic implications. This analytical move parallels how global climate change is first noticed on a local scale — a meadow that browns earlier each year, a species of bird no longer heard at dawn, species that suddenly thrive where they once struggled or were non-existent — modest, particular details in which a larger imbalance becomes visible - and brings into focus the

multiple entanglements of the naturecultural with global commons within which different forms of organizing commoning and privatized activities emerge.

Mainstream academic engagement with ecological phenomena often contributes to a blindness towards global commons by re-producing onto-epistemologies focused on disembodied, rational knowledge. We argue that this blindness renders landscape commons vulnerable, thereby enabling what Val Plumwood (2008) described as 'shadow places' that are prone to exploitation. It is precisely these ways of interacting and knowing — which cast rationality and efficiency as the only legitimate modes of engaging with our natural habitat — that Critical Management Studies has critiqued for some time (Davis & Riach, 2019; Ergene, et al., 2021; Mandalaki & Fotaki, 2020; Phillips, 2015; 2019; 2020).

Building upon this critique, we argue for going beyond traditional ways of researching and knowing in academia – and especially in organization studies. The materiality of landscape can only be adequately grasped through an embodied way of researching (Allen & Strauß 2026; Mandalaki & Fotaki 2020). Further, landscape knowledge – especially traditional one - is to be found in cultural artefacts, such as stories, songs, poems and others. These convey affective intensities, collective interconnected identities, history and memories, and imagination. In order to account for these situated, embodied and landscape-sensitive ways of knowing, we look for theories in Management and Organization Studies that integrate and legitimize such ways of knowing, thereby furthering our understanding of how to organize livelihoods sustainably (García-López, et al. 2021).

Going beyond conventional academic engagements, we welcome artistic collaborations, presentations and performances like story telling or poetic narrations to our stream as a journey into noticing landscapes as commons and acknowledge the commoning of landscapes by different actors and positionalities. We also invite insights that go beyond notions of communities taking care of a commons to engage with landscape commons that emerge in interactions with various actors, practices and situations, like migration, (re)organizing of work, and art, that enable and construct lives and livelihoods. Highlighting whose and how landscapes and interactions with landscapes are (de)legitimized allows discussing landscapes with regard to the underlying exercises of power in these acts of (de)legitimizing and its implications for various actors confronted with profound changes of the landscapes they belong to (for instance, through land-use changes or changes of the climatic conditions that make certain livelihood practices possible).

Finally, we would like to highlight the particular role of affect as an often-overlooked dimension of landscapes, their constitution as commons as well as associated caring practices (Singh 2017; 2018). This opens up the opportunity to discuss landscapes as a right, a value (beyond resource) and the result of a coming-together or human and non-human livelihood practices (Velicu, I., & García-López, 2018; García-López, et al. 2021).

Transversal questions of interest:

- How can we reconceptualize landscapes as commons?
- How can landscapes as a natureculture be researched in embodied or affective ways?
- How do academic landscapes and their adjunct onto-epistemological practices render (landscape) commons (in-)visible?

- What forms of organizing emerge around artefacts (such as stories, art, songs, rituals, etc.) to contribute, share and reproduce the significance and meaning of a landscape commons?
- How are landscapes interconnected with, organized and mediated through affectivities?
- How are landscape commons entangled with privatized land-practices (such as farming but also tourism, renewable energy production, etc.)
- What alternative ways of evaluating landscapes exist beyond considering nature exclusively as a resource? How is the value of common landscapes mediated?
- How are livelihoods organized that actively interconnect with landscape care (and provide livelihoods for more than humans)?
- How do or could cultural artefacts inform collective practices towards more embodied and relational forms of organizing with landscapes? (e.g., what if instead of a statute or manifesto a collective is seeking to introduce its identity and scope through a poem or a song or a theatrical play?)

We would like to invite scholars to dust off their unconventional side projects and join in this panel to:

- Share embodied and other forms of knowing and learning with landscapes
- Share interactions of living, moving, emerging communities that are commoning landscapes

The contributions can take many forms like:

- Ethnographic work (including historical material)
- Art-based methods
- Collective projects or participative methods
- Engaging with materiality of Lancaster area

We kindly ask the proposals to include:

1. Main driving question of the contribution
2. Explicit connection to landscape commons topic
3. Preliminary plan for the presentation - what will happen, what needs to happen before the presentation (sharing a video, a question with the audience). In case you need an expanded timeframe, please get in touch.
4. Full-papers are not required in advance, however, we are happy to engage with the existing work in any format.
5. The expected length of the proposal is around 800 characters without references.

In case of any doubt, please reach out to the organizing collective (landscapecommons@proton.me) in advance to clarify the fit of your contribution to the panel.

Abstracts to be sent by 1st December to: landscapecommons@proton.me

Supporting detail on the Stream/Session Type

We would like to spend part of the session outside to be able to sensually engage with the landscapes that have emerged in the historical human-nature interaction that surrounds the conference venue.

References

- Allen, S. & Strauß, A. (2026) Thinking-Being With a Garden: Developing Ecocentric Ethics for Sustainable Organising. *Journal of Business Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-026-06255-2>.
- Bauwens, M., Kostakis, V., & Pazaitis, A. (2019). *Peer to Peer: The Commons Manifesto*. University of Westminster Press.
- Brandtner, C., Douglas, G. C. C., & Kornberger, M. (2023). Where Relational Commons Take Place: The City and its Social Infrastructure as Sites of Commoning. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 184(4), 917–932. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-023-05361-9>
- Davies, O_{livia} and Riach, K_{athleen} (2019) From mainstream measuring to multispecies sustainability? A gendered reading of bee-ing sustainable. *Gender, Work and Organization* 26 (3): 246–266.
- Ergene, Seray, Banerjee, Bobby S., and Hoffman, Andrew (2021) (Un)Sustainability and organization studies: towards a radical engagement. *Organization Studies* 42(8): 1319–1335.
- Fournier, V. (2013). Commoning: On the social organisation of the commons. *M@n@gement*, 16(4), 433–453. <https://doi.org/10.3917/mana.164.0433>
- García-López, G. A., Lang, U., & Singh, N. (2021). Commons, Commoning and Co-Becoming: Nurturing Life-in-Common and Post-Capitalist Futures (An Introduction to the Theme Issue). *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/25148486211051081>.
- Gibson-Graham, J.K., Cameron, Jenny, and Healey, Stephen (2016). “Commoning as a postcapitalist politics,” in: A. Amin and P. Howell (eds.) *Releasing the commons: Rethinking the futures of the commons*, pp. 192–211. London: Routledge.
- Mandalaki, E., & Fotaki, M. (2020). The Bodies of the Commons: Towards a Relational Embodied Ethics of the Commons. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 166, 745–760. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04581-7>.
- Menatti, L. (2017) Landscape: from common good to human rights. *International Journal of the Commons* 11(2): 641–683. <http://doi.org/10.18352/ijc.738>
- Peter, C., & Meyer, C. (2023). Organizing for the Smart African City: Leveraging the urban commons for exerting the right to the city. *Organization Studies*, 44(10), 1725–1746. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01708406221089609>.
- Phillips, M. (2015). Re-ethicizing corporate greening? Ecofeminism, activism and the ethics of care. In A. Pullen, & C. Rhodes (Eds.), *The Routledge Companion to Ethics, Politics and Organizations* (pp. 51–66). Routledge.
- Phillips, M. (2019) Daring to Care: Challenging Corporate Environmentalism. *Journal of Business Ethics* 156, 1151–1164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3589-0>
- Phillips, M. E. (2020) Reconnecting with nature: an ecofeminist view of environmental management. *Geographical Research*, 58: 154–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-5871.12388>.
- Plumwood, V. (2008) Shadow Places and the politics of dwelling, *Australian Humanities Review*, <https://australianhumanitiesreview.org/2008/03/01/shadow-places-and-the-politics-of-dwelling/>
- Singh, N. (2018) Introduction: Affective ecologies and conservation, *Conservation and Society* 16(1): 1–7.
- Singh, N. (2017) Becoming a commoner: The commons as sites for affective socio-nature encounters and co-becomings, *Ephemera* 17(4), available at (22th December 2022): <https://ephemerajournal.org/index.php/contribution/becoming-commoner-commons-sitesaffective-socio-nature-encounters-and-co-becomings>

Velicu, I., & García-López, G. (2018). Thinking the Commons through Ostrom and Butler: Boundedness and Vulnerability. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 35(6), 55–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276418757315>.